

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/828,773	04/20/2004	Badredin Fatemizadeh	112518.00005	5237
26707	7590 07/01/2005		EXAMINER	
QUARLES RENAISSAN	& BRADY LLP		ABRAHAM, FETSUM	
	TWO NORTH CENTRAL AVENUE			PAPER NUMBER
PHOENIX, A	AZ 85004-2391		2826	

DATE MAILED: 07/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5/

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/828,773	FATEMIZADEH ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Fetsum Abraham	2826			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status		,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/6/23 This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims		•			
4) Claim(s) 1-17,19-32 is/are pending in the application Papers 1-17,19-32 is/are pending in the application and/or claim(s) 1-17,19-32 is/are pending in the application is application in the application application is application in the application in the application is application in the application is application in the application in the application is application in the application in the application is application in the applica	from consideration.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objection to the object and the correction are considered to be corrected as a constant of the correction are considered to be corrected as a constant of the correction are constant or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner 12. **The control of the correction of the correcti	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * In the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the priority documents * See the attached detail	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	on No In this National Stage d. (PTO-413)			
Botant and Trademark Office					

Application/Control Number: 10/828,773

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

The non-traversed election made on 4/6/05 has been acknowledged and the non-elected claims 1-5 withdrawn from consideration.

As a preliminary matter, there is no claim 18 in the application.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6,8-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumar et al (6,551,865).

As for claims 6,11, the prior art disclose a JFET structure in the front page comprising a gate (9) in a trench, an n-type epitaxial layer (2), a first P-region (3) under the gate and within the epitaxial layer and a second p-region of less concentration layer (3a) partially under the first region. Clearly, the second layer has another dimension level with the top surface of the first region but that feature does not eliminate the other dimension of the layer. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to conclude layer (3a) being under layer (3) since it is partially under the same.

As for claim 8, the drain (1) of the JFET is formed below the epitaxial layer and the source (S1,S2) over a first portion of the epitaxial layer.

As for claims 9,10, the first conductivity type defining the conductivity type of the epitaxial layer is n-type and the first region and the second regions are made of the claimed type and impurity density.

Application/Control Number: 10/828,773

Art Unit: 2826

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 12,13,15-17,19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kumar et al (6,551,865).

As for claims 12,19 the prior art disclose a JFET structure in the front page comprising a gate (9) in a trench, an n-type epitaxial layer (2), a first P-region (3) under the gate and within the epitaxial layer and a second p-region of less concentration layer (3a) adjacent to the right end of the first region.

As for claim 13, there is a third region adjacent the left end of the first region with similar conductivity type but less in density compared to the first region.

As for claim 15, the drain (1) of the JFET is formed below the epitaxial layer and the source(S1,S2) over a first portion of the epitaxial layer.

As for claims 16,17, the first conductivity type defining the conductivity type of the epitaxial layer is n-type and the first region and the second regions are made of the claimed type and impurity density.

Claims 7,14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 2826

The trench in said epitaxial layer where the gate is formed is not taught or rendered obvious by the prior art.

Claims 20-32 have been allowed.

The JFET in claim 20 with all its elements and the half way extension in position of said second region in relation to the epitaxial layer and the gate region extension and motivation of claim 28 are not taught or rendered obvious by the prior arts.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Refer to PN: 6,841,812 and 6,774,417

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Fetsum Abraham whose telephone number is: 571-272-1911. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 571-272-1915.

Fetsum Abraham

2#/05